

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE R.BASANT

FRIDAY, THE 3RD AUGUST 2007 / 12TH SRAVANA 1929

Crl.MC.No. 2477 of 2007()

CC.361/2001 of JUDL. MAGISTRTE OF FIRST CLASS COURT-III, THRISSUR
.....

PETITIONER: ACCUSED

SIVANANDAN, S/O. PARAMEL NARAYANAN,
PARAMEL HOUSE, LALOOR, ARANATTUKARA P.O.,
THRISSUR.

BY ADV. SRI.NAGARAJ NARAYANAN
SRI.SAIJO HASSAN
SRI.A.S.SABU

RESPONDENTS: STATE

STATE OF KERALA, REPRESENTED BY
THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR, HIGH COURT OF KERALA,
ERNAKULAM.

BY PUBLIC PROSECUTOR SRI. M.S. BREEZ

THIS CRIMINAL MISC. CASE HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 03/08/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE
FOLLOWING:

R. BASANT, J.

Crl.M.C.No. 2477 of 2007

Dated this the 3rd day of August, 2007

ORDER

The petitioner faces indictment in a prosecution under Section 138 of the N.I. Act. Cognizance has been taken. As the petitioner was not available, the case against him was split up. It is now pending as L.P.R. 100 of 2004.

2. According to the petitioner he is absolutely innocent. His failure/omission to appear earlier was not wilful, but was due to reasons beyond his control. He is willing to surrender before the learned Magistrate and seek bail. He shall co-operate with the Court for the expeditious disposal of the case. But he apprehends that his application for bail may not be considered by the learned Magistrate on merits, in accordance with law and expeditiously. In these circumstances it is prayed that appropriate directions may be issued to the learned Magistrate to release the petitioner on bail on the date of surrender itself.

3. It is certainly for the petitioner to appear before the learned Magistrate and explain to the learned Magistrate the circumstances under which he could not earlier appear before the

learned Magistrate. I have no reason to assume that the learned Magistrate would not consider the application for bail to be filed by the petitioner when he surrenders before the learned Magistrate, on merits, in accordance with law and expeditiously. Every court must do the same. No special or specific direction appears to be necessary. Sufficient general directions have already been issued by this Court in the decision in Alice George v. Dy.S.P. of Police (2003 (1) KLT 339).

4. This application is accordingly dismissed. I may however hasten to observe that if the petitioner appears before the learned Magistrate and applies for bail after giving sufficient prior notice to the Prosecutor in charge of the case, the learned Magistrate must proceed to pass orders on merits, in accordance with law and expeditiously - on the date of surrender itself.

(R. BASANT)
Judge

tm